

**SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST**  
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

LOG NO

1085270

TYPE

INFO

DATE OF REPORT

27-SEP-2017

INSTRUCTIONS: To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, NO AFFIDAVIT, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed Five (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

TO:  CHIEF ADMINISTRATOR,  
CIVILIAN OFFICE OF POLICE  
ACCOUNTABILITY

CHIEF,  
BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS

FROM - INVESTIGATOR'S NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMPLOYEE NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED
QUINN, TIMOTHY	9171	1305		121	

REFERENCE NOS.(LIST ALL RELATED C.L., C.B., I.R., INVENTORY NOS., ETC., PERTINENT OF THIS INVESTIGATION)

INCIDENT ADDRESS: 5555 W. GRAND AVE, CHICAGO, IL 60639	DATE / TIME: 04-MAR-2016 01:16	BEAT: 2515
--------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------

**ACCUSED**

NAME	RANK	STAR NO	EMP NO	UNIT ASSIGNED	UNIT DETAILED	SEX/RACE	DOB	APPOINTED DATE	ON DUTY?	SWORN ?
UNKNOWN						/			YES	UNK
BLOCK, ANDREW M	9173	577		014		M / WHI	1976	25-JUN-2001	YES	YES

**REPORTING PARTY**

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY, STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
WELLS, SHAPEARL				F / BLK	/

**VICTIMS**

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY, STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE
COPELAND, COURTNEY				M / BLK	/

**WITNESSES**

NAME	ADDRESS*	CITY, STATE	TELEPHONE	SEX / RACE	DOB / AGE

\* IF CPD MEMBER, LIST RANK, STAR, EMPLOYEE NOS. IN ADDRESS, PAX/BELL IN TELEPHONE BOX.

**ALLEGATIONS**

\*\*\*\*\* SEE ATTACHMENT \*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY

\*\*\*\*\* SEE ATTACHMENT \*\*\*\*\*

## ATTACHMENTS

INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ALLEGATIONS LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS - SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBERS(S) LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBER:	PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LIST ATTACHMENTS NUMBERS:	TOTAL NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS SUBMITTED WITH THIS FILE:
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## FINDINGS - RECOMMENDATIONS

<b>Accused #1:</b> Allegation: Recommendation:	Unknown Police Officer No Affidavit No disciplinary action warranted
<b>Accused #2:</b> Allegation: Recommendation:	Lieutenant Andrew BLOCK #577, Employee [REDACTED] Unit 014 No Affidavit No disciplinary action warranted

DATE INITIATED  
(Date incident was received for investigation)

01-JUN-2017

DATE COMPLETED (Date of this report)

27-SEP-2017

ELAPSED TIME  
(Total time expressed in days)

118

Investigator will initiate the Command Channel Review form by completing  
the Investigator's Section.

INVESTIGATOR'S SIGNATURE

IF NECESSARY, USE AN 8 1/2 x 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.

## ALLEGATIONS

NOTE: Complaint Log "Type" remains classified as INFO, signed Sworn Affidavit not on file.

**Accused #1:** Unknown Police Officer  
**Allegation:** The complainant, [REDACTED] alleges that on or after 04 March 2016 at 0116 hours at the location of 5555 W Grand Ave, Chicago, IL, 60639 an unknown Chicago Police Officer handcuffed her son, [REDACTED], who was suffering from a gunshot wound, without justification.

**Accused #2:** Lieutenant Andrew BLOCK #577, Employee [REDACTED] Unit 014  
**Allegation:** The complainant, [REDACTED] alleges that on or after 04 March 2016 at 0116 hours at the location of 5555 W Grand Ave, Chicago, IL, 60639; Chicago Police Lieutenant Andrew BLOCK #577 was possibly involved in the death of her son, [REDACTED]

## SUMMARY

On 02Jun2017 the undersigned was assigned this log number for investigation and reviewed all of the included documentation, which included the face sheet, a web complaint and General Offense Case Report #HZ173768. R/Sgt then completed an *Investigator Unable to Contact Reporting Party Letter (CPD-44.223)*, addressed to the complainant, and sent this letter via USPS Certified Mail, reference tracking #7006 0810 0003 1350 5706. The R/Sgt then obtained the following reports for the investigative file: CPD Event Query Report for event #1606400571, CPD Event Query Report for event #1606400620, ten (10) Detective Case Supplementary Reports linked to RD #HZ173768 and CFD Event Query Report for event #160640059. (Attachment #1, 4-19, 22)

The R/Sgt then emailed [REDACTED] with the Chicago Fire Department and received, via email, the following Chicago Fire Department Reports: CFD Run Report, Case #1DDBA70C-2919-BFB7-BA9A8D427D47, CFD Non-Transport Report for event #160640059 and CFD Incident Report for event #16-064-0059-00. A review of the CFD reports show that the paramedics restrained the gunshot victim, [REDACTED] with handcuffs and Kerlix wrap. This was a medical decision by the paramedics due to [REDACTED] being combative while apparently in traumatic shock due to his gunshot wound. [REDACTED] was ultimately pronounced deceased at 0201 hours at Illinois Masonic Hospital. The identification or involvement of any department member possibly involved in the restraint of the trauma patient, [REDACTED] is not documented in any CFD reports. (Attachment #20-22)

The R/Sgt then contacted the complainant, [REDACTED] at her listed phone number and explained the interview process as well as the requirements under the Uniform Peace Officer's Disciplinary Act, 50 ILCS 725/3.8. [REDACTED] confirmed that she wished to proceed with this investigation and is willing to sign a *Sworn Affidavit for CL Investigation- CPD-44.126*. An interview was scheduled with [REDACTED] for Monday, June 5, 2017, 1000 hours at BIA, Interview Room #2. (Attachment #22)

On 05Jun2017, the R/Sgt obtained the following reports for the investigative file: the Automated Daily Attendance and Assignment (A&A) Record for the 025<sup>th</sup> District, 1<sup>st</sup> watch on 04Mar2016 and CPD Event Query Report for event #1606400569. The complainant, ██████████ failed to show for her scheduled statement at 1000 hours. Contact was made via telephone when ██████████ related to the undersigned that she will not be coming BIA to give a statement at this time. ██████████ further stated that she will be hiring an attorney to seek the legal consequences of signing the Sworn Affidavit, because she wants to make sure "You don't twist my words," apparently referring to the R/Sgt. ██████████ refused to reschedule the statement at this time and stated that she would re-contact the R/Sgt at a later date. (Attachment #23-25)

On 22Jun2017, the R/Sgt confirmed via the USPS Tracking Website that the certified letter addressed to the complainant, ██████████ was delivered on 21Jun2017; thus indicating that ██████████ is in possession of the *Investigator Unable to Contact Reporting Party Letter* (CPD-44.223). (Attachment #26, 27)

On 25Aug2017, in reviewing the reports gathered in this investigation, it was discovered that there was POD video, associated with this case, which was saved by the assigned detectives. The Reporting Sergeant contacted the Information and Strategic Services Division (ISSD) and spoke to Officer Jason ARENDS #16690, who confirmed that the video was indeed saved by the assigned detectives. The R/Sgt requested copies of this video from Officer ARENDS, who related that he would burn a copy and send it in the police mail. (Attachment #28)

On 29Aug2017, the R/Sgt received the POD videos, via police mail, from Officer Jason ARENDS #16690 assigned to Information & Strategic Services Division. The video is from 04Mar2016 for the time frame of 0100 to 0130 hours from POD cameras 314, 315, 317 and 701. The R/Sgt viewed the videos and discovered that the videos have no bearing on this investigation. The only details on the video show the apparent path of travel of two Chicago Police Department vehicles identified in the reports as Sgt. Andrew BLOCK #2026 working beat 2510R and Evidence Technician Thomas WORTHEM #12110 working beat 5831. Both vehicles are shown driving W/B on Grand Ave from 5245 W Grand Ave, to the the location of 5556 W Grand Ave, which is the location where the victim ██████████ exited his vehicle suffering from a gunshot wound and approached the police vehicle operated by BLOCK. The only actions on the scene appear to be unknown officers speaking to and consoling ██████████, who was conscious on the scene, prior to his removal by CFD personnel. (Attachment #29, 30)

It should be noted that the accused, Lieutenant Andrew BLOCK #577, was promoted to lieutenant on 01Dec2016, but on the date of this allegation, was a sergeant. (Attachment #34)

On 30Aug2017 the R/Sgt contacted the complainant, ██████████ at her listed phone number for a follow-up of her request to find an attorney. ██████████ related to the R/Sgt that she no longer wishes to proceed with this investigation and is willing to sign a Declination Letter (*Termination of Complaint- CPD-44.304*), but ██████████ requested that the R/Sgt give her a forty eight hour notice before coming out to her home. (Attachment #31)

On 11Sep2017 the R/Sgt again contacted the complainant, [REDACTED] at her listed phone number for the purposes of scheduling a date to sign a Declination Letter (*Termination of Complaint- CPD-44.304*); thus honoring [REDACTED] request that the R/Sgt give her a forty eight hour notice before coming out to her home. [REDACTED] responded that it would not be possible to sign a Declination Letter at this time and refused to schedule a date for this purpose. [REDACTED] related that she would call the R/Sgt back at a later date if she has the time; but as of the date of this report [REDACTED] has not re-contacted the undersigned in reference to signing a Declination Letter (*Termination of Complaint- CPD-44.304*). (Attachment #32)

During the course of this investigation, the R/Sgt made four successful telephone contacts with the complainant, [REDACTED], in regards to this allegation. During the initial conversation, the complainant related that she wished to pursue the allegation and was willing to sit for a formal statement, as well as the signing of a *Sworn Affidavit*. A date was set for the statement with [REDACTED] but she failed to attend. In subsequent contacts with [REDACTED] she related that she no longer wished to pursue this investigation and would sign a Declination Letter (*Termination of Complaint- CPD-44.304*). As of the date of this report, the R/Sgt has not obtained a signed Declination Letter (*Termination of Complaint- CPD-44.304*, nor the required *Sworn Affidavit*, and therefore cannot proceed with this investigation. (Attachment #3, 22, 25, 31, 32)

The Reporting sergeant has also determined through the evaluation of the evidence presented in this investigation that there is not sufficient, independent and factual information of the allegation to support a sworn affidavit override on either of the allegations.

In fact, if a *Sworn Affidavit* were to be obtained in this investigation, the evidence would indicate a finding of unfounded on both allegations. The CFD Run Report documented that [REDACTED] was restrained by the paramedics using handcuffs and Kerlix wrap. This appears to be a medical decision by the paramedics, in order to treat [REDACTED] for a gunshot wound, while he was being combative, apparently in traumatic shock. As for the allegation that Lt. BLOCK was possibly involved in the death of [REDACTED] this would also be unfounded. There is no evidence to support this allegation. Four POD videos clearly show the path of travel of BLOCK'S squad car from 5245 W Grand Ave to 5600 W Grand Ave; and the subsequent appearance of [REDACTED] vehicle exiting S/B Long onto W/B Grand Ave; until [REDACTED] stops alongside BLOCK at 5556 W Grand Ave., where by all available evidence medical treatment was immediately summoned.

Due to the lack of cooperation by the complainant and the subsequent lack of a signed *Sworn Affidavit*, the reporting sergeant recommends a finding of CLOSED- NO CONVERSION "NO AFFIDAVIT- REFUSED".